

1 The individual and representative plaintiffs Siddharth Hariharan, Brandon Marshall,
 2 Michael Devine, Mark Fichtner, and Daniel Stover (“Plaintiffs”) and defendants Adobe Systems
 3 Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intel Corp., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., and Pixar (“Defendants”),
 4 by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby enter into this Stipulation, subject to court
 5 approval, with reference to the following facts:

6 WHEREAS, the parties have collectively produced in excess of 340,000 documents in this
 7 case, amounting to more than 1,825,000 pages;

8 WHEREAS, on February 27, 2013, Plaintiffs served on each Defendant Requests for
 9 Admissions and the Third Set of Interrogatories regarding the authenticity and admissibility of
 10 documents produced in this case;

11 WHEREAS, on March 12, 2013, Plaintiffs served on Defendants deposition notices
 12 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), seeking testimony related to, among other
 13 things, the authenticity and admissibility of documents produced in this case;

14 WHEREAS, the fact discovery cutoff is March 29, 2013;

15 ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, SUBJECT TO COURT APPROVAL,
 16 that:

17 1. Plaintiffs withdraw the 30(b)(6) deposition notices served March 12, 2013.

18 2. Plaintiffs withdraw the February 27, 2013 Requests for Admissions and Third Set
 19 of Interrogatories.

20 3. Except as provided herein, all documents produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants in
 21 this case bearing a bates number are authentic true and correct copies of documents within the
 22 possession, custody or control of the producing party, and the metadata and email header
 23 information produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants accurately reflects the senders, recipients and/or
 24 custodians of email and other documents.

25 4. Plaintiffs and Defendants reserve the right to challenge the authenticity of specific
 26 documents and their associated metadata after another party identifies them for use in this case. If
 27 a party challenges the authenticity of a document, the producing party will permit appropriate
 28 discovery on the limited issue of authenticity of that specific document, without regard to the fact

1 discovery cutoff date.

2 5. Plaintiffs and Defendants will provide a list of documents that they request the
 3 parties stipulate are business records pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 803(6). For any such
 4 documents that any party declines to so stipulate, the producing party will permit appropriate
 5 discovery on the limited issue of whether that specific document meets the business record
 6 requirements of Rule 803(6), without regard to the discovery cutoff date.

7 6. The parties will agree to a schedule for the timing of the Plaintiffs' and
 8 Defendants' identification of documents they intend to use and documents they request producing
 9 parties stipulate are business records, the producing parties' response, and any related discovery.

10 7. Documents whose authenticity and business records status has been established
 11 pursuant to this stipulation can be introduced into evidence without calling at trial a custodian of
 12 the document as a sponsoring witness.

13 8. By entering into this stipulation, the parties hereby preserve and do not waive any
 14 other objections that they may have to the admissibility of a particular document.

15 9. Except as provided herein, this stipulation does not otherwise affect the schedule
 16 for the case.

17 Dated: March 28, 2013 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
 18

19 By: /s/ Kelly M. Dermody
 20 KELLY M. DERMODY
 21 Attorneys for individual and representative Plaintiffs
 Siddharth Hariharan, Brandon Marshall, Michael Devine,
 Mark Fichtner, and Daniel Stover

22 Dated: March 28, 2013 JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM
 23

24 By: /s/ Joseph Saveri
 25 JOSEPH SAVERI
 26 Attorneys for individual and representative Plaintiffs
 Siddharth Hariharan, Brandon Marshall, Michael Devine,
 Mark Fichtner, and Daniel Stover

1 Dated: March 28, 2013 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

2 By: /s/ Michael F. Tubach
3 MICHAEL F. TUBACH
4 Attorneys for Defendant
APPLE INC.

5 Dated: March 28, 2013 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

6 By: /s/ Daniel Purcell
7 DANIEL PURCELL
8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 LUCASFILM LTD.

10 Dated: March 28, 2013 JONES DAY

11 By: /s/ David C. Kiernan
12 DAVID C. KIERNAN
13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.

15 Dated: March 28, 2013 MAYER BROWN LLP

16 By: /s/ Lee H. Rubin
17 LEE H. RUBIN
18 Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE INC.

19 Dated: March 28, 2013 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

20 By: /s/ Frank Hinman
21 Frank Hinman
22 Attorneys for Defendant
23 INTEL CORPORATION

24 Dated: March 28, 2013 JONES DAY

25 By: /s/ Robert A. Mittelstaedt
26 ROBERT A. MITTELSTAEDT
27 Attorneys for Defendant
28 INTUIT INC.

1 Dated: March 28, 2013

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

2 By: /s/ *Emily Johnson Henn*
3 EMILY JOHNSON HENN
4 Attorneys for Defendant
PIXAR

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Filer's Attestation

I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from all the signatories.

Dated: March 28, 2013

/s/ Robert Mittelstaedt
Robert Mittelstaedt
Jones Day

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
2
3 Dated: May 14, 2013
4
5
6 SFI-819904v5
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



HON. LUCY H. KOH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE